IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH,

AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

W.P. No. 12445 OF 1999

Date: 09.08.2005

BETWEEN:

M/s. Sri Shiva Shakti Consructions Pvt. Ltd.,

Rep. by its Director Sri M. Rameshwar reddy

…. PETITIONER

Vs.

1. Engineer-in-chief (Admn. Wing), Irrigation & CAD

Department, Erramanzil, Hyderabad, and another.

…. RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The petitioner-company is a Special Class Contractor. The registration as such was granted for a period of five years, up to 2.5.1999. When the petitioner company submitted application for renewal of registration, it was not considered. Therefore, it filed W.P.No.2976 of 1998. This Court by order dated 20.4.1999 allowed the writ petition. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted application for renewal, in vain. Therefore, the present writ petition is filed seeking a direction to renew the registration as Special Class Contractor.

The Executive Engineer in the office of the first respondent filed counter affidavit. Paragraph-7 thereof reads as under.

> …. It is submitted that after W.P.No.2976 of 1998 was allowed by this Hon'ble Court, the application dated 11.1.1999 was scrutinized and certain deficiencies were noticed and the same was informed to the petitioner Company asking to rectify the same vide letter No.Rc.ENC.ADA/TC.3/21635/99, dated 3.5.1999. Simultaneously, the Executive Engineer, PWD, Hingoli District, Parbani, Maharashtra was requested to confirm the experience Certificate issued by him to the Petitioner Company. Therefore, the petitioner company submitted the particulars called for on 20.5.1999 and confirmation of Experience from Executive Engineer, Maharashtra was also received on 24.5.1999. Then the application for renewal of registration was placed before the Board of Chief Engineer's meeting held on 24.6.1999, and Board of Chief Engineers have approved the application of the petitioner company for renewal of registration as Special Class Contractor in Bituminous Asphaltic Highway Works.

In view of the above, nothing survives in this writ petition as the petitioner's grievance is substantially redressed. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J.

9th August, 2005

Js